Other (explain):

FILED 3 JUN 19 10 52 USDC GRP

Page 2 of 10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT District of Oregon

Unii	run Abu Latif Munir Petitioner V. Case No. 3:19-cv-00873-SU (to be assigned by the Clerk of Court) nted States of America Respondent e of warden or authorized person having custody of petitioner)
	PETITION FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2241
	Personal Information
1.	(a) Your full name: Haarun Abu Latif Munir
1.	
2.	(b) Other names you have used: Harry Truman Hicks Jr. Place of confinement:
4.	(a) Name of institution: FCI Sheridan
	(b) Address: P.O. Box 5000
	Sheridan, OR 97378
	(c) Your identification ("SID") number: 10857-046
3.	Are you currently being held on orders by:
	Federal authorities
4.	Indicate that you are currently:
	☐ A pretrial detainee (waiting for trial on criminal charges)
	Serving a sentence (incarceration, parole, probation, etc.) after having been convicted of a crime
	If you are currently serving a sentence, provide:
	(a) Name and location of the court that sentenced you: Uninted States District Court for the
	District of Montana
	(b) Docket number of criminal case: CR 10-64-GF-SEH
	(c) Date of sentencing: December 16, 2010
	☐ Being held on an immigration charge

District of Oregon Corresponds to AO 242 Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Rev. 9/18)

Page 3 of 10

Decision or Action You Are Challenging

Indicate	what you are challenging in this petition:
□ How y	our sentence is being carried out, calculated, or credited by prison or parole authorities (for example
revoca	ation or calculation of good time credits)
□ Pretria	al detention
□ Immig	gration detention
□ Detain	ner
The va	alidity of your conviction or sentence as imposed (for example, sentence beyond the statutory
maxim	num or improperly calculated under the sentencing guidelines)
□ Discip	linary proceedings
Other were	(explain): P.S.I. Enhancements used were unconstitutional as they Washington State drug predicates under RCW 69.50.401.
Provide	more information about the decision or action you are challenging:
(a) Nam	ne and location of the agency or court: U.S. District Court of Montana/Great Falls
(b) Doc	ket number, case number, or opinion number: CR-10-64-GF-SEH
	ket number, case number, or opinion number: CR-10-64-GF-SEH ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed):
(c) Deci	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed):
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR e of the decision or action: 12/16/2010 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR e of the decision or action: 12/16/2010 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR e of the decision or action: 12/16/2010 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR e of the decision or action: 12/16/2010 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy?
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR e of the decision or action: 12/16/2010 Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? No
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? No No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): ace and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? ¬No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut (2) Date of filing: 12/20/2010
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): ace and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? ¬No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut (2) Date of filing: 12/20/2010
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): ace and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut (2) Date of filing: 12/20/2010 (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 10–30373
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): ace and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut (2) Date of filing: 12/20/2010 (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 10-30373
(c) Deci	ision or action you are challenging (for disciplinary proceedings, specify the penalties imposed): nce and PSR Your Earlier Challenges of the Decision or Action peal appeal the decision, file a grievance, or seek an administrative remedy? No Yes," provide: (1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circut (2) Date of filing: 12/20/2010 (3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 10–30373 (4) Result: Sentence affirmed.

Case 3.19-CV-00075-30
District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241
(Rev. 9/18)

Page 4 of 10

(b) If	ou answered "No," explain why you did not appeal:
Second	l appeal
After tl	ne first appeal, did you file a second appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?
Yes	□ No
(a) If "	Yes," provide:
	(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court: Uninted States Supreme Court
	(2) Date of filing: 12/13/2011
	(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number: 11–7897
	(4) Result: Writ of certiorari denied.
	(5) Date of result: <u>01/24/2012</u>
	(6) Issues raised: Did the sentencing judge properly weigh mitigating
	circumstances at sentencing.
(b) If	you answered "No," explain why you did not file a second appeal:
Third	appeal
	ne second appeal, did you file a third appeal to a higher authority, agency, or court?
∃ Yes	XD No
	Yes," provide:
()	(1) Name of the authority, agency, or court:
	(2) Date of filing:
	(3) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:
	(4) Result:
	(5) Date of result:
	(6) Issues raised:

District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241
(Rev. 9/18)

Page 5 of 10

(b)	If you answered "No," explain why you did not file a third appeal: No higher authority.
Moi	otion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255
	his petition, are you challenging the validity of your conviction or sentence as imposed?
Ž Y	
	Yes," answer the following:
(a)	Have you already filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 that challenged this conviction or senten
(a)	✓ Yes □ No
	If "Yes," provide:
	(1) Name of court. Uninted States District Court/Great Falls Division
	(2) Case number: 4:13-CV-09-GF-SEH
	(3) Date of filing Fobruary 01 2013
	(4) Result: Danied
	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not
	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013(6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not
	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013(6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences
	 (5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement,
	 (5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to
	 (5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement,
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement.
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction.
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence?
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? You No
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? Tyes YD No If "Yes," provide:
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? Tyes You No If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of court:
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? Tyes You No If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of court: (2) Case number:
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? Yes YO No If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of court: (2) Case number: (3) Date of filing:
(b)	(5) Date of result: June 12, 2013 (6) Issues raised: Conviction obtained by plea of guilty, which did not have proper understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea; Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsels failure to object to PSR, counsels failure to object to weapon enhancement, counsels failure to object to leader organizer enhancement. Have you ever filed a motion in a United States Court of Appeals under 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A seeking permission to file a second or successive Section 2255 motion to challenge this conviction sentence? Tyes You No If "Yes," provide: (1) Name of court: (2) Case number: (3) Date of filing:

'District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Rev. 9/18)

Page 6 of 10
your

(c)	Explain why the remedy under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is inadequate or ineffective to challenge your
	conviction or sentence:
A	
	als of immigration proceedings this case concern immigration proceedings?
□Yes	
LI TES	
(0)	If "Yes," provide:
(a)	Date you were taken into immigration custody:
(b)	Date of the removal or reinstatement order:
(c)	Did you file an appeal with the Board of Immigration Appeals?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If "Yes," provide:
	(1) Date of filing:
	(2) Case number:
	(3) Result:
	(4) Date of result:
	(5) Issues raised:
(d)	Did you appeal the decision to the United States Court of Appeals?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If "Yes," provide:
	(1) Name of court:
	(2) Date of filing:
	(3) Case number:

District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241
(Rev. 9/18)

Page 7 of 10

(4) Result:	
(5) Date of result:	
(6) Issues raised:	
	-
Other appeals	
Other than the appeals you listed above, have you filed any other petition, application, or motion about the same of the same	the iss
raised in this petition?	
□ Yes 🗴 No	
If "Yes," provide:	
(a) Kind of petition, motion, or application:	
(b) Name of the authority, agency, or court:	
(c) Date of filing:	
(d) Docket number, case number, or opinion number:	
(e) Result:	
(f) Date of result:	
(g) Issues raised:	
	-
Grounds for Your Challenge in This Petition	
State every ground that supports your claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, lattreaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the supporting each ground.	
ROUND ONE: Ineffective assistance of counsel, counsel failed to	
object to the PSR enhancements in respects to the Washington state	
drug convictions under R.C.W. 69.50.401 which are broader than analogou	ıs
generic federal drug trafficking.	

District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241
(Rev. 9/18)

□Yes

XI No

Page 8 of 10

(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):
Washington Revised Code § 69.50.401 does not qualify as serious drug
offense[s] under the Career Offender Act. The Ninth Circut has held that
it is broader than analogous generic drug offense of the federal drug
trafficking laws, thus making Washington Revised Code § 69.50.401
unconstitutionally vague.
(b) Did you present Ground One in all appeals that were available to you? Tyes No
□ Yes X No
GROUND TWO: Violation of my Eighth Ammendment right by subjecting
me to cruel and unusual punishment.
me to order did disposer paritoraleries
(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):
On 12/16/10 I was sentenced to a term of 300 months, a sentence that was
enhanced improperly due to an incorrect PSR sighting Washington Revised Code
§ 69.50.401 which could not be used due to unconstitutional vagueness of
Washington state drug predicates that were used to enhance the sentence.
(b) Did you present Ground Two in all appeals that were available to you?
□ Yes X□ No
GROUND THREE: Violation of due process of law under the Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth
Ammendments.
(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):
Under 28 U.S.C. 2072 (b) that Federal Rules of Procedure may not deprive
anyone of substantive rights, this is the essence of the Fifth Ammendment
that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law to challenge the grand jury array, consequently I
have been deprived of substantive due process which is expressly prohibited
under 28 U.S.C. 2072 (b).
WHACE EV VIDIOS EVIE (V)
(b) Did you present Ground Three in all appeals that were available to you?

District of Oregon
Corresponds to AO 242
Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241
(Rev. 9/18)

Page 9 of 10

	GROUND FOUR: Procedural error by the District Court for failing to
	calculate the guideline range properly as well as failing to adequately
	explain the sentence selected which is abuse of discretion.
	(a) Supporting facts (Be brief. Do not cite cases or law.):
	During the dispute resolution process as described in U.S.S.G § 6A1.2 the
	defense raised no objections to the mathematical accuracy of the crimminal
	history score and the advisory guideline calculation. Court referred to
	defnendant's multiple felony drug convictions under Washington Revised Code
	§ 69.50.401 that are not eligible to enhance the sentence.
	(b) Did you present Ground Four in all appeals that were available to you?
	□Yes Xi No
14.	If there are any grounds that you did not present in all appeals that were available to you, explain why you did
	not:Constitutional Law Change.
	Request for Relief
15.	State what you want the Court to do: Vacate and remand with instructions from
	this court.

District of Oregon

Corresponds to AO 242

Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241

(Rev. 9/18)

Page 10 of 10

Declaration Under Penalty Of Perjury

If you are incarcerated, on what date did you place this petition in the prison mail system:*

May 30, 2019

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the petitioner, I have read this petition or had it read to me, and the information in this petition is true and correct. I understand that a false statement of a material fact may serve as the basis for prosecution for perjury.

Date: May 30, 2019
May 30, 2019

Hacrun Gon Loty Signature of Petitioner

Haarun Abu Latif Munir Reg. No 10857-046

Signature of Attorney or other authorized person, if any

* As noted in the instructions to this form (at #6), if you are incarcerated at Snake River Correctional Institution, you must comply with the requirements of the E-Filing Pilot posted at the institution and set forth in Standing Orders 2017-9 and 2018-05. Accordingly, you must submit your filings in this case to Snake River Correctional Institution staff for scanning and electronic submission, instead of mailing the filing using the U.S. Postal Service. Please indicate the date you submitted this petition to Snake River Correctional Institution staff for scanning and electronic submission, if you are incarcerated there.